IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.BASHEER

FRIDAY, THE 27TH JULY 2007 / 5TH SRAVANA 1929

WP(C).No. 10818 of 2005(K)

PETITIONER:

PATHUTTY.C.P., JUNIOR ARABIC TEACHER
(FULL TIME), G.L.P.S. CHEMBILODE,
MANANTHAVADY, POST EDAVAKU,
WAYANAD DIST.

BY ADV. SRI.KALEESWARAM RAJ

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL EDUCATION,
SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION,
WAYANAD AT KALPATTA, WAYANAD DIST.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.M.R.SREELATHA

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 27/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 10818 of 2005(K)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

Ext.P1: True copy of the order No.A4/6125/2004 dated 23.9.2004.

Ext.P2: True copy of the disability certificate dated 14.3.1996 with respect to the petitioner issued by the Medical Board.

Ext.P3: True copy of the order dated 30.4.2004 in W.P.(C) No.14055/04.

Ext.P4: True copy of the news report which appeared in Desabhimani daily dated 12.3.2005.

// True copy //

P.A. To Judge

jes

A.K.BASHEER, J.

W.P.(C)No.10818 OF 2005

Dated this the 27th day of July, 2007

JUDGMENT

Petitioner was appointed as a Junior Arabic Teacher (Full time) in Government Lower Primary School, Chembilode in a short term vacancy with effect from September 23, 2004. Ext.P2 shows that she is a disabled person. It is contended by the petitioner that she is entitled to be reinstated and allowed to continue in service in the light of the Government Orders granting such benefits to disabled person.

2. I am afraid that the contention raised by the petitioner is totally misconceived. It is true that the Government had extended some benefits to handicapped persons, who were provisionally employed between January 1, 1997 and August 14, 1998, in connection with the Golden jubilee celebration independence of India. The said benefit was later extended till August 15, 1999. Admittedly, petitioner does not fall the category of those beneficiaries. Therefore, the petitioner is not entitled to get any relief in this writ petition.

Writ petition fails and it is accordingly dismissed.

A.K.BASHEER, JUDGE

jes